

Determinants and permanents of an arbitrary the Hadamard degree of a Cauchy matrix and proof of a generalization of a conjecture of R.F.Scott(1881).

A.M.Kamenetsky

Abstract.

In this paper we give the absolutely new proof of a conjecture of R.F.Scott(1881) on the permanent of a Cauchy matrix $\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $x^n - 1$ and $y^n + 1$, respectively. The simple formula is given for the permanent of the Cauchy matrix $A = \left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $x^n + a$ and $y^n + b$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(A) &= \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [nb - k(b-a)] = \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [-na - k(b-a)][nb - k(b-a)], & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n(a+b)}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [na + k(b-a)][nb + k(a-b)], & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

from which the corrected formula of R.F.Scott follows instantly. Proof follows from obtained by the author a formula for the determinant of an arbitrary of the Hadamard degree m of a Cauchy matrix A and Borchard's theorem.

Key words: Cauchy matrix, permanents, determinants, Hadamard degree.

1. Introduction.

In 1881 R.F.Scott [1] gave the following result without proof. Let

$$A = ((x_i - y_j)^{-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

be a Cauchy matrix, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $x^n - 1$ and $y^n + 1$, respectively. Then

$$\text{per}(A) = \begin{cases} n[1 \times 3 \times 5 \times \dots \times (n-2)]^2/2^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In 1979 Henryc Minc [2] first proved the correct result

$$\text{per}(A) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(n-1)/2} n[1 \times 3 \times 5 \times \dots \times (n-2)]^2/2^n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Thus R.F.Scott guess formula for $\text{per}(A)$ up to a sign. In [3] the proof of the formula (2) is the same as in [2]. Both in [3] and in [2] evaluate of the determinant of the first and the second Hadamard degree foregoing specialized a Cauchy matrix which is based in fact on the proof of one and the same trigonometric identity. My proof is based on absolutely another idea, permitting obtain compact formula for determinant of an arbitrary Hadamard degree of specialized a Cauchy matrix if $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of polynomials $x^n + a$ and $y^n + b$, respectively.

2. Results.

Theorem 1. *Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be independent variables over a field K of characteristic 0 and let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in K$, $A = ((x_i - y_j)^{-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be a Cauchy matrix, let $A^{(m)} = ((x_i - y_j)^{-m})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be m -th Hadamard degree of the Cauchy matrix A , let $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\det(A^{(m)}) = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^{-n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^{m-1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{m-1} \det(A), \quad (3)$$

$$\text{per}(A^{(m)}) = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^{-n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^{m-1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{m-1} \text{per}(A). \quad (4)$$

Proof. Since

$$\text{per}(A^{(m)}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m} \text{ and } \det(A^{(m)}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} (\text{sign } \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{m-1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{m-1} \text{per}(A) = \\
& = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{m-1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-1} = \\
& = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^{m-1} (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-1} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m} = \\
& = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m} = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^n \text{per}(A^{(m)}), \\
\\
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{m-1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{m-1} \det(A) = \\
& = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^{m-1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} (\text{sign } \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-1} = \\
& = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} (\text{sign } \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^{m-1} (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-1} = \\
& = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} (\text{sign } \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{m-1} (m-1)! (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m} = \\
& = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^n \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}(n)} (\text{sign } \sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i - y_{\sigma(i)})^{-m} = (-1)^{n(m-1)} [(m-1)!]^n \det(A^{(m)}).
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 1. *Let K be a field and $f(x) \in K[x]$, let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over K .*

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i), \quad g(x) = x^n f(x^{-1}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i x), \quad x_i \in E, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$p_k = p_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k x^k = -x \left(\frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) (g(x))^{-1} \quad (5)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k x^k &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_i^k x^k = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i x)^{-1} x_i x = -x \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-x_i}{1 - x_i x} = \\
&= -x \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{d}{dx}(1 - x_i x)}{1 - x_i x} = -x \left(\frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) (g(x))^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2. Let K be a field of characteristic 0, let $F(x) \in K[[x]]$, let m, k be positive integers. Then

$$\frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}}((F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x)). \quad (6)$$

If $F(0) = 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \text{Coef}_{x^m} - \frac{x \frac{d}{dx}(1 - F(x))}{1 - F(x)}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. If $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, then by definition $\text{Coef}_{x^m} F(x) = a_m$. Therefore $\text{Coef}_{x^m} F(x) = \frac{1}{m} \times \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \frac{d}{dx} F(x)$ and it follows that

$$\text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \frac{1}{m} \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \frac{d}{dx} (F(x))^k = \frac{1}{m} \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} k(F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x) = \frac{k}{m} \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}}((F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x)),$$

i. d. $\frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}}((F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x))$. Let $F(0) = 0$. Then by the equality (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k &= \sum_{k=1}^m \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \left((F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) = \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) \sum_{k=1}^m (F(x))^{k-1} = \\ &= \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F(x))^{k-1} = \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) (1 - F(x))^{-1} = \\ &= \text{Coef}_{x^m} x \left(\frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) (1 - F(x))^{-1} = \text{Coef}_{x^m} \left[-x \left(\frac{d}{dx} (1 - F(x)) \right) (1 - F(x))^{-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved. ■

If $\text{char } K = 0$, $\varphi(x) \in K[[x]]$, $\varphi(0) = 1$, then by definition $\log(\varphi(x)) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\varphi(x))^m}{m}$.

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dx} \log(\varphi(x)) = - \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varphi(x))^{m-1} \right) \frac{d}{dx} (1 - \varphi(x)) = (\varphi(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x).$$

Therefore the equality (7) follows from the equality

$$m \text{Coef}_{x^m} \log(1 - F(x)) = \text{Coef}_{x^m} \left(x \frac{d}{dx} \log(1 - F(x)) \right)$$

Lemma 3. Let K be a field of characteristic 0, let $\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x) \in K[[x]]$, let $\varphi_i(0) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\varphi_i(x)) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right) \quad (8)$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\varphi_i(x)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d}{dx} \log(\varphi_i(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\varphi_i(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_i(x), \\ \frac{d}{dx} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right)^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right) = \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right) (\varphi_j(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\varphi_j(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_j(x) \end{aligned}$$

and constant terms of the series $\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\varphi_i(x))$ and $\log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right)$ are equal to 0, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\varphi_i(x)) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \right).$$

■

Lemma 4. Let $n \geq 1$, let $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n$ be positive integers such that $l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots \geq l_n$. Then

$$\frac{l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_n}{l_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \binom{l_i - 1}{l_{i+1} - 1} \right) \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) \quad (9)$$

Proof by induction on n . Let $n \geq 2$. Then from the equality $\binom{l_1}{l_2} = \frac{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1-1}{l_2-1}$ it follows that $\frac{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = (1 + \frac{l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \frac{l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1} \frac{l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1-1}{l_2-1} \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \binom{l_1-1}{l_2-1} \frac{l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_2} \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}}$. By the induction hypothesis it follows that $\frac{l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_2} \times \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \left(\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \binom{l_i-1}{l_{i+1}-1} \right) \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right)$. Therefore $\frac{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \binom{l_1-1}{l_2-1} \left[\prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \binom{l_i-1}{l_{i+1}-1} \right) \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) \right] = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \binom{l_i-1}{l_{i+1}-1} \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} \right)$. Lemma 4 is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ be nonnegative integers, $\sum_{i=1}^n i\lambda_i = m > 1$. Then $\frac{m((\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_n-1)!)}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\dots\lambda_n!}$ is integer.

Proof. Lemma 5 follows directly from the lemma 4. Really, let $s = \max\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, \sum_{j=i}^n \lambda_j \geq 1\}$, $l_i = \sum_{j=i}^n \lambda_j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $s \geq 1$, $l_i - l_{i+1} = \lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $l_n = \lambda_n$. Since $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} =$

$$= \frac{l_1!}{(l_1-l_2)!(l_2-l_3)!\dots(l_{n-1}-l_n)!l_n!}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n l_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i}^n \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^n j \lambda_j = m, \text{ then } \frac{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1} \times \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \frac{l_1+l_2+\dots+l_n}{l_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \binom{l_i}{l_{i+1}} = \frac{m}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_n} \cdot \frac{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_n)!}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\dots\lambda_n!} = \frac{m((\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_n-1)!)}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\dots\lambda_n!} \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 5 also follows directly from the lemma 2. Let $k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$, let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ be independent variables over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i$, let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the ring of rational integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k &= \frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n)^k = \\ &= \frac{m}{k} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1+\dots+\mu_n=k \\ \mu_i \geq 0, \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \mu_1+2\mu_2+\dots+n\mu_n=m}} \frac{k!}{\mu_1!\mu_2!\dots\mu_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\mu_i} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu_1+\dots+\mu_n=k \\ \mu_i \geq 0, \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \mu_1+2\mu_2+\dots+n\mu_n=m}} \frac{m((\mu_1+\mu_2+\dots+\mu_n-1)!)}{\mu_1!\mu_2!\dots\mu_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\mu_i}. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

But from equality (6) it follows that

$$\frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \text{Coef}_{x^{m-1}}((F(x))^{k-1} \frac{d}{dx} F(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n].$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu_1+\dots+\mu_n=k \\ \mu_i \geq 0, \mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \mu_1+2\mu_2+\dots+n\mu_n=m}} \frac{m((\mu_1+\mu_2+\dots+\mu_n-1)!)}{\mu_1!\mu_2!\dots\mu_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\mu_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$$

Hence, in particular, $\frac{m((\mu_1+\mu_2+\dots+\mu_n-1)!)}{\mu_1!\mu_2!\dots\mu_n!} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Another one more proof follows from [10, theorem 8, p.18].

Lemma 6. *Let A and B be commutative rings, let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be independent variables over the ring A , let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in B$, let φ be a homomorphism of the ring A into the ring B . Let $\psi : A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow B$ be defined by*

$$\psi\left(\sum a_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n} x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}\right) = \left(\sum \varphi(a_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n}) y_1^{\lambda_1} y_2^{\lambda_2} \dots y_n^{\lambda_n}\right).$$

Then the mapping ψ is homomorphism of the ring $A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ into the ring B .

Proof by induction on n . Let $f(x), g(x) \in A[x]$, $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^l b_j x^j$, $y \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(f(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi(a_i) y^i. \text{ Then } f(x) + g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\max(k,l)} (a_i + b_i) x^i, f(x)g(x) = \sum_{s=0}^{k+l} c_s x^s, c_s = \sum_{i+j=s, i,j \geq 0} a_i b_j, \\ & \psi(f(x) + g(x)) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\max(k,l)} (a_i + b_i) x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\max(k,l)} (\varphi(a_i + b_i)) y^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\max(k,l)} (\varphi(a_i) + \varphi(b_i)) y^i = \\ & = \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi(a_i) y^i + \sum_{i=0}^l \varphi(b_i) y^i = \psi(f(x)) + \psi(g(x)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f(x)g(x)) &= \sum_{s=0}^{k+l} \varphi(c_s) y^s = \sum_{s=0}^{k+l} \left(\varphi\left(\sum_{i+j=s} a_i b_j\right) \right) y^s = \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{k+l} \left(\sum_{i+j=s} \varphi(a_i) \varphi(b_j) \right) y^s = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k (\varphi(a_i)) y^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^l (\varphi(b_j)) y^j \right) = \psi(f(x)) \psi(g(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \geq 2$, let ψ_1 be restriction of the mapping ψ on subring $A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ of the ring $A[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. By the induction hypothesis for $n-1$ it follows that ψ_1 is the homomorphism of the ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ into the ring B . From the proved above case $n=1$ it follows that ψ is homomorphism of the ring $(A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])[x_n]$ into the ring B . But $(A[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])[x_n] = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and therefore ψ is homomorphism of the ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ into the ring B . Lemma 6 is proved.

Lemma 7. *Let K be a field, $f(x) \in K[x]$, E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over K , $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i)$, $x_i \in E$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for all $m \geq 1$*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \geq 0, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!) }{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\lambda_i} \quad (11)$$

Proof. We shall prove at first the equality (11) under the condition that $\text{char } K = 0$.

1) Let $F(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i$. Then by the formula (10) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{m}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m}(F(x))^k = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \geq 0, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!) }{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\lambda_i}$$

Since $1 - F(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i = x^n f(x^{-1})$, then by the lemma 1 it follows that

$$\text{Coef}_{x^m} -x \left(\frac{d}{dx} (1 - F(x)) \right) (1 - F(x))^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m.$$

Therefore from the equality (7) it follows the equality (11).

2) Let $g(x) = x^n f(x^{-1}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i$, $\varphi(x) = 1 - g(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i$. Then by the equality (5) it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m x^{m-1} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) \right) (1 - \varphi(x))^{-1} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\varphi(x))^l.$$

Hence and by the formula of differentiation of formal series it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_m}{m} x^m &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m x^{m-1} = \left(\frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\varphi(x))^l = \\ &= \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l+1} (\varphi(x))^{l+1} = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (\varphi(x))^k \end{aligned}$$

and since constant terms of the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_m}{m} x^m$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (\varphi(x))^k$ are equal to 0, then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_m}{m} x^m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (\varphi(x))^k. \quad (12)$$

From the equality (12) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_m}{m} &= \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \text{Coef}_{x^m} (\varphi(x))^k = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n = k \\ \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} \frac{k!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (-a_i)^{\lambda_i} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i)^{\lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$P_m = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i)^{\lambda_i}$$

3) The equality (12) follows directly from the equality (8). Really, $-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} (\varphi(x))^k = \log(1 - \varphi(x)) = \log(g(x)) = \log\left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i\right) = \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i x)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 - x_i x) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x_i x)^m}{m} = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i x)^m}{m} = -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_m}{m} x^m.$

Now let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be independent variables over the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, let $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) = x^n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i}$. Since

$$a_k = (-1)^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k} = (-1)^k s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

then by the lemma 5 it follows that the equality (11) is the polynomial identity in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Lemma 5 also follows from the equality (11) and from classical theorem about unique representation of a symmetric polynomials from the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ in the form of a polynomials $g(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$, where $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Now let K be a field of positive characteristic and $f(x) \in K[x]$, let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over the field K , let $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{n-i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - y_i)$, $y_i \in E$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let e be the unity of the field K , let $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow E$, $\varphi(k) = ke$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow E$,

$$\psi\left(\sum b_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n} x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n}\right) = \sum \varphi(b_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n}) y_1^{\lambda_1} y_2^{\lambda_2} \dots y_n^{\lambda_n},$$

$\sum b_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n} x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_n^{\lambda_n} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Since the mapping φ is the homomorphism of the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ into the ring E , then by the lemma 6 it follows that ψ is the homomorphism of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ into the ring E . Since $\psi(s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = s_k(\psi(x_1), \psi(x_2), \dots, \psi(x_n)) = s_k(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = (-1)^k a_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, then by applying the homomorphism ψ to the both sides of the polynomial equality in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!)}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \times \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^n (s_k(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{\lambda_k} \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^m &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!)}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \times \\ &\times \prod_{k=1}^n (s_k(y_1, \dots, y_n))^{\lambda_k} = \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!)}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{k=1}^n ((-1)^k a_k)^{\lambda_k} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!)}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{k=1}^n (a_k)^{\lambda_k} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7 is proved. ■

Since $s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0) = s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ if $k \leq n$, and $s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ if $k > n$ then the equality (13) follows from [5, (16), p. 381]. Really, from [5, (16), p. 381] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m + \sum_{j=1}^m y_j^m &= \frac{1}{(-1)^{m-1}(m-1)!} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+m\lambda_m=m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m}} \frac{m!}{\prod_{i=1}^m (i!)^{\lambda_i} (\lambda_i!)} \times \\ &\times (-1)^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_m-1} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m - 1)! \prod_{i=1}^m (i! s_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))^{\lambda_i} = \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+m\lambda_m=m, \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m}} (-1)^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\dots+\lambda_m} \frac{m((\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m - 1)!)}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_m!} \prod_{i=1}^m (s_i(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m))^{\lambda_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $y_1 = \dots = y_m = 0$, we obtain (13).

Lemma 8. *Let K be a field and $f(x) \in K[x]$, let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over K , $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i)$, $x_i \in E$, $x_i \neq 1$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(x - \frac{1}{1-x_i} \right) = (f(1))^{-1} x^n f \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad (14)$$

Proof. We have,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(x - \frac{1}{1-x_i} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) \right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n ((1-x_i)x - 1) = (f(1))^{-1} g(x),$$

where $g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n ((1-x_i)x - 1)$. But

$$x^n g \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1-x_i-x) = \prod_{i=1}^n ((1-x)-x_i) = f(1-x).$$

Hence, $g(x) = x^n f \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right)$ and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(x - \frac{1}{1-x_i} \right) = (f(1))^{-1} x^n f \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right)$$

■

Lemma 9. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0 or relatively prime with n , if $\text{char} K \neq 0$, $c \in K$, $c \neq 0, 1$; let ε be a primitive root from 1 of degree n , let α be an arbitrary root of the polynomial $x^n - c$,*

$$f_{n,m}(k) = f_{n,m}(c, \alpha; k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{ik}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m}, \quad n \geq 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Then

$$f_{n,m}(k) = \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right], \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad m \geq 1 \quad (15)$$

$$f_{n,m}(0) = (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+\dots+n\lambda_n=m \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} \frac{m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \left(\frac{1}{c-1} \right)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i}^{\lambda_i}, \quad m \geq 1 \quad (16)$$

$$f_{n,m}(0) = \frac{1}{c-1} \left[(-1)^m \binom{n}{m} m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right], \quad 1 \leq m \leq n, \quad (17)$$

$$f_{n,m}(0) = \frac{1}{c-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0), \quad m \geq n+1, \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (f_{n,m}(0)) x^m = \frac{nx(1-x)^{n-1}}{(1-x)^n - c}, \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (f_{n,m}(0)) x^m = \frac{n[(1-x)^{n-1} - c]}{(1-x)^n - c} \quad (19)$$

Proof. We shall prove the equality (15) by induction on k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,m}(k) - \alpha^{-1} f_{n,m}(k-1) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{ik}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} - \alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{i(k-1)}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{i(k-1)} (\varepsilon^i - \alpha^{-1})}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} = -\alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{i(k-1)} (1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} = -\alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{i(k-1)}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^{m-1}} = \\ &= -\alpha^{-1} f_{n,m-1}(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f_{n,m}(k) = \alpha^{-1} [f_{n,m}(k-1) - f_{n,m-1}(k-1)], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (20)$$

Since $f_{n,0}(0) = n$, then from equality (20) it follows that the equality (15) is correct for $k = 1$.

Case $m = 1$. Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{ik} = \frac{1 - \varepsilon^{kn}}{1 - \varepsilon^k} = 0,$$

if $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then from the equality (20) it follows that

$$f_{n,1}(k) = \alpha^{-1} \left[f_{n,1}(k-1) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^{i(k-1)} \right] = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1} f_{n,1}(k-1), & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n, \\ \alpha^{-1} (f_{n,1}(0) - n), & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$f_{n,1}(k) = \alpha^{-(k-1)} f_{n,1}(1), \text{ if } 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

Therefore $f_{n,1}(k) = \alpha^{-(k-1)} f_{n,1}(1)$, if $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since

$$f_{n,1}(1) = \alpha^{-1}(f_{n,1}(0) - n),$$

then $f_{n,1}(k) = \alpha^{-k}(f_{n,1}(0) - n)$, if $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Case $m \geq 2$. Let $2 \leq k \leq n$. From the equality (20) and the induction hypothesis for $k-1$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,m}(k-1) &= \alpha^{-(k-1)} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-2}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k-1}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right], \\ f_{n,m-1}(k-1) &= \alpha^{-(k-1)} \left[(-1)^{m-1} \binom{k-2}{m-2} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (-1)^i \binom{k-1}{i} f_{n,m-1-i}(0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,m}(k) &= \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-2}{m-1} n - (-1)^{m-1} \binom{k-2}{m-2} n + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k-1}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} (-1)^i \binom{k-1}{i} f_{n,m-1-i}(0) \right] = \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \left(\binom{k-2}{m-1} + \binom{k-2}{m-2} \right) n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k-1}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} f_{n,m-j}(0) \right] = \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + f_{n,m}(0) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \left(\binom{k-1}{i} + \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right) f_{n,m-i}(0) \right] = \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + f_{n,m}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right] = \\ &= \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From induction principle it follows that the equality (15) is correct for all k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $m \geq 1$. Let

$$\varphi(x) = x^n - c = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \varepsilon^i \alpha).$$

From the lemma 8 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(x - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^j \alpha} \right) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(x - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha} \right) = (\varphi(1))^{-1} x^n \varphi \left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) = \\ &= (1-c)^{-1} x^n \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{x} \right)^n - c \right] = (1-c)^{-1} [(x-1)^n - cx^n] = x^n + (1-c)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} x^{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence and from lemma 7 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n,m}(0) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha} \right)^m = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \left[(1-c)^{-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \right]^{\lambda_i} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{1}{1-c} \right)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} (-1)^{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i}^{\lambda_i} = \\ &= (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + n\lambda_n = m \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n}} \left(\frac{1}{c-1} \right)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} \frac{m(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n - 1)!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i}^{\lambda_i}. \end{aligned}$$

From the equality

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(x - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha} \right) = x^n + (1-c)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} x^{n-i} \quad (21)$$

and respectively from first and second formulas of Newton it follows the equalities (17) and (18).

How it is no wonder that, the equalities (17) and (18) also follows directly from the equality (15). Really, setting in equality (15) $k = n$, we obtain

$$f_{n,m}(n) = \alpha^{-n} \left[(-1)^m \binom{n-1}{m-1} n + f_{n,m}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right], \quad (22)$$

Since $f_{n,m}(n) = f_{n,m}(0)$, $\alpha^{-n} = c^{-1}$ and $\binom{n-1}{m-1} n = \binom{n-1}{m-1} \frac{n}{m} m = \binom{n}{m} m$, then from the equality (22) it follows that

$$c f_{n,m}(0) = (-1)^m \binom{n}{m} m + f_{n,m}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0),$$

and therefore

$$f_{n,m}(0) = \frac{1}{c-1} \left[(-1)^m \binom{n}{m} \cdot m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right], \quad (23)$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

The equalities (17) and (18) are equivalent one equality (23) for all $m \geq 1$. Let

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(x - \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha} \right).$$

Then, how we show above, $f(x) = (1-c)^{-1}[(x-1)^n - cx^n]$ and it follows that

$$g(x) = x^n f(x^{-1}) = (1-c)^{-1}[(1-x)^n - c].$$

Hence and by the lemma 1 it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{n,m}(0)x^m = -x \left(\frac{d}{dx} g(x) \right) (g(x))^{-1} = \frac{nx(1-x)^{n-1}}{(1-x)^n - c}.$$

Since $f_{n,0}(0) = n$, then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{n,m}(0)x^m = n + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{n,m}(0)x^m = n + \frac{nx(1-x)^{n-1}}{(1-x)^n - c} = \frac{n[(1-x)^{n-1} - c]}{(1-x)^n - c}.$$

■

Theorem 2. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 or relatively prime with n , if $\text{char } K \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in K \setminus \{0\}$, $a \neq b$, ε be a primitive root from 1 of degree n . Let β and γ be an arbitrary roots of the polynomials $x^n + a$ and $x^n + b$ in the splitting field of $(x^n + a)(x^n + b)$ over K , respectively. Let $x_i = \varepsilon^i \beta$ and $y_i = \varepsilon^i \gamma$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha = \beta^{-1} \gamma$, let $f_{n,m}(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^{ik}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} \alpha^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \times \\ &\times f_{n,m}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Proof. Carry out from i -th row, $1 \leq i \leq n$, of matrix $\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ factor x_i^{-m} we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{-m} \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(1 - x_i^{-1} x_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \\ &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{-m} \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon^{j-i} \alpha)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Let $b_k = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon^k\alpha)^m}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then from (25) it follows that

$$\det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{-m} \det((b_{(j-i) \bmod n})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}). \quad (26)$$

From well known formula for the determinant group matrix of finite cyclic group it follows that

$$\det((b_{(j-i) \bmod n})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^{ik} b_i. \quad (27)$$

Since

$$x^n + a = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \varepsilon^i \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i),$$

then $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n = (-1)^n a$ and from the equalities (26), (27) and (15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^m} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) &= ((-1)^n a)^{-m} \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon^{ik} b_i = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon^{ik}}{(1 - \varepsilon^i \alpha)^m} = (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} \prod_{k=1}^n f_{n,m}(k) = (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} f_{n,m}(k) = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} f_{n,m}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} f_{n,m}(k) = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} f_{n,m}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha^{-k} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right] = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} f_{n,m}(0) \alpha^{-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right] = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} a^{-m} \alpha^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} f_{n,m}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[(-1)^m \binom{k-1}{m-1} n + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i} f_{n,m-i}(0) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. ■

Theorem 3. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 or relatively prime with n , if $\text{char } K \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in K \setminus \{0\}$, $a \neq b$, $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $x^n + a$ and $x^n + b$ in the splitting field of $(x^n + a)(x^n + b)$ over K , respectively. Then

$$\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] = \quad (28)$$

$$= \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [nb + k(a-b)] = \quad (29)$$

$$= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [-na - k(b-a)][nb - k(b-a)], & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ \frac{n}{2} \cdot \frac{n(a+b)}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} [na + k(b-a)][nb + k(a-b)], & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

Proof. From the Borchardt's theorem [4, p.18] it follows that

$$\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) \cdot \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right). \quad (31)$$

Since $\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right)$ is independent from the order of the roots of the polynomials $x^n + a$ and $y^n + b$, then choose ordering the same as in theorem 2 and using introduced in the same place notations we obtain from (24) that

$$\det \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = (-1)^n a^{-1} \alpha^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} f_{n,1}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [f_{n,1}(0) - n], \quad (32)$$

$$\det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^2} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = a^{-2} \alpha^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} f_{n,2}(0) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [f_{n,2}(0) - k f_{n,1}(0) + (k-1)n], \quad (33)$$

Since

$$\alpha^n = (\beta^{-1}\gamma)^n = (\beta^n)^{-1} \cdot \gamma^n = (-a)^{-1} \cdot (-b) = a^{-1}b = c,$$

then from the equality (16) it follows that

$$f_{n,1}(0) = -\frac{n}{c-1} = -\frac{n}{\frac{b}{a}-1} = -\frac{na}{b-a}, \quad (34)$$

$$f_{n,2}(0) = \left(\frac{1}{c-1} \right)^2 \cdot \binom{n}{1}^2 + 2 \frac{1}{c-1} \binom{n}{2} = \frac{n^2}{(\frac{b}{a}-1)^2} + \frac{n(n-1)}{\frac{b}{a}-1} = \frac{n^2 a^2}{(b-a)^2} + \frac{n(n-1)a}{b-a} \quad (35)$$

From the equalities (31) – (35) it follows that $\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (-1)^n a^{-1} \frac{\frac{n^2 a^2}{(b-a)^2} + \frac{n(n-1)a}{b-a}}{-\frac{na}{b-a}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\frac{n^2 a^2}{(b-a)^2} + \frac{n(n-1)a}{b-a} + \frac{kna}{b-a} + (k-1)n}{-\frac{na}{b-a} - n} = \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} a^{-1} \left[\frac{na}{b-a} + n-1 \right] \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\frac{na}{b-a} \left[\frac{na}{b-a} + n-1 + k + \frac{(k-1)(b-a)}{a} \right]}{-\frac{nb}{b-a}} = \\ &= a^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)b + a}{b-a} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\left(\frac{na}{b-a} + n-1 \right) + k + \frac{(k-1)(b-a)}{a} \right] = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)b+a}{b-a} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{nb-(b-a)}{b-a} + \frac{(k-1)b+a}{a} \right] = \\
&= a^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)b+a}{b-a} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{nb}{b-a} + \frac{(k-1)b}{a} \right] = \\
&= a^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)b+a}{b-a} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{b}{a(b-a)} [na + (k-1)(b-a)] = \\
&= a^{-1} \frac{(n-1)b+a}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} [na + k(b-a)] = \frac{n}{(b-a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since the mapping $\varphi(k) = n - k$ is the bijection of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ onto itself, then

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + \varphi(k)(b-a)] = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + (n-k)(b-a)] = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [nb - k(b-a)].$$

Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \geq 3$. Then the mapping $\varphi(k) = n - k$ is a bijection of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}\}$ onto the set $\{\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} + 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} [na + k(b-a)] \right) \cdot \prod_{k=\frac{n+1}{2}}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} [na + k(b-a)] \right) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} [na + \varphi(k)(b-a)] = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} [na + k(b-a)] \right) \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} [nb - k(b-a)] = \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [-na - k(b-a)][nb - k(b-a)]. \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Then $\varphi(k) = n - k$ is the bijection of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1\}$ on the set $\{\frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n/2)-1} [na + k(b-a)] \right) \cdot [na + \frac{n}{2}(b-a)] \cdot \prod_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{n-1} [na + k(b-a)] = \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{n/2-1} [na + k(b-a)] \cdot \frac{n}{2}(a+b) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n/2-1} [na + \varphi(k)(b-a)] = \frac{n}{2}(a+b) \prod_{k=1}^{n/2-1} [na + k(b-a)][nb - k(b-a)].
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 is proved. ■

Theorem 3 is a direct generalization of a conjecture of R.F.Scott(1881) if $a = -1$, $b = 1$.

Theorem 4. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 or relatively prime with $2n$ if $\text{char } K \neq 0$. Let $a \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are distinct roots of the polynomials $x^n + a$ and $y^n - a$ in the splitting field of $(x^n + a)(x^n - a)$ over K , respectively. Then

$$\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{n}{2^n a} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (n - 2k) \right)^2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Setting in equality (30) $b = -a$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{x_i - y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{n(-a)^{n-1}}{(-2a)^n} \prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (n - 2k)^2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{n}{2^n a} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} (n - 2k) \right)^2, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 5. Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be separable polynomials over a field K and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively, in the splitting field E of the polynomial $f(x)g(x)$ over the field K . Assume that $m \leq n$ and the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are relatively prime. Then $\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \right) \in K$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $a_{i,j} = \frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^k}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $A = (a_{i,j})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$. Let $\text{Gal}(E/K)$ be the Galois group of all K -automorphisms of the field E and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$. Since

$$\{\sigma(x_1), \dots, \sigma(x_m)\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \quad \{\sigma(y_1), \dots, \sigma(y_n)\} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\},$$

then there exists substitutions $\varphi \in \text{Sym}(m)$, $\psi \in \text{Sym}(n)$ such that

$$\sigma(x_i) = x_{\varphi(i)}, \quad \sigma(y_j) = y_{\psi(j)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $\text{Sym}(n)$ is symmetric group of substitutions of degree n . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\text{per}(A)) &= \sigma \left(\text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \right) \right) = \text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x_i) - \sigma(y_j))^k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \right) = \\ &= \text{per} \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_{\varphi(i)} - y_{\psi(j)})^k} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \right) = \text{per}((a_{\varphi(i), \psi(j)})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}) = \text{per}(A) \end{aligned}$$

So, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$

$$\sigma(\text{per}(A)) = \text{per}(A). \quad (37)$$

Since the elements of the field E which are invariant relatively all K —automorphisms of the group $\text{Gal}(E/K)$ of the field E belong to the field K , then from (37) it follows that $\text{per}(A) \in K$.

Theorem 5 is proved. ■

Theorem 6. *Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be separable polynomials of degree n over the field K and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n$ are the distinct roots of the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, respectively, in the splitting field E of the polynomial $f(x)g(x)$ over the field K . Assume that the polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are relatively prime. Then $(\det((\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^k})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}))^2 \in K$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. In the notations of the proof of the theorem 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\det(A)) &= \sigma \left(\det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_i - y_j)^k} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) \right) = \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(\sigma(x_i) - \sigma(y_j))^k} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \\ &= \det \left(\left(\frac{1}{(x_{\varphi(i)} - y_{\psi(j)})^k} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) = \det((a_{\varphi(i), \psi(j)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = \\ &= (\text{sign } \varphi)(\text{sign } \psi) \det((a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = (\text{sign } \varphi)(\text{sign } \psi) \det(A) \end{aligned}$$

So, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$

$$\sigma(\det(A)) = \pm \det(A). \quad (38)$$

From (38) it follows that $\sigma((\det(A))^2) = (\det(A))^2$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and therefore $(\det(A))^2 \in K$

Theorem 6 is proved. ■

Lemma 10. *Let $m \geq 1$, $n \geq m + 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ be elements in a commutative ring, $a_{i,j} = (x_i - y_j)^m$, $A_n^{(m)} = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$. Then*

$$\det(A_n^{(m)}) = \begin{cases} (\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{i}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i), & \text{if } n = m + 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq m + 2 \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Proof. Let $b_{i,j} = \binom{m}{j-1} x_i^{j-1}$, $c_{i,j} = (-y_j)^{m-i+1}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,

$$B_{n,m} = (b_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad C_{n,m} = (c_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Since $m \leq n - 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n b_{i,k} c_{k,j} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{m}{k-1} x_i^{k-1} (-y_j)^{m-k+1} = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \binom{m}{l} x_i^l (-y_j)^{m-l} = \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^m \binom{m}{l} x_i^l (-y_j)^{m-l} = (x_i - y_j)^m = a_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $A_n^{(m)} = B_{n,m} \cdot C_{n,m}$ and therefore

$$\det(A_n^{(m)}) = \det(B_{n,m}) \cdot \det(C_{n,m}) \quad (40)$$

Since

$$\det(B_{n,m}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \binom{m}{j-1} \right) \det((x_i^{j-1})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{m}{i} \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(C_{n,m}) &= (-y_1)^m (-y_2)^m \dots (-y_n)^m \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} ((-y_j^{-1}) - (-y_i^{-1})) = \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (-y_i)^m \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_j - y_i) \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_i y_j)^{-1} = \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (-y_i)^m \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_j - y_i) \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{n-1} \right)^{-1} = \\ &= (-1)^{mn} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{m-n+1} \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y_j - y_i), \end{aligned}$$

then from (40) it follows that

$$\det(A_n^{(m)}) = (-1)^{mn} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{m}{i} \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{m-n+1} \right) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i)(y_j - y_i). \quad (41)$$

The equality (39) follows directly from (41). ■

Lemma 11. *Let $n \geq m + 1$, $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\text{rank}(((x_i - y_j)^m)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = m + 1 \quad \text{if } x_i \neq x_j, y_i \neq y_j \quad (42)$$

for all i, j , $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Proof follows directly from the equality (39).

From the lemma 11 it follows that the theorem of Carlitz-Levine [4, p.19] is nonapplicable to a matrix $((x_i - y_j)^{-m})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ if $m \geq 2$, $n \geq m + 1$ and $x_i \neq y_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $x_i \neq x_j$, $y_i \neq y_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Lemma 12. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0, $\varphi(x) \in K[[x]]$, $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$. Then*

$$\exp(\log(\varphi(x))) = \varphi(x). \quad (43)$$

Proof. Let $F(x) = \exp(\log(\varphi(x)))$. Since $\frac{d}{dx} \exp(x) = \exp(x)$, then

$$\frac{d}{dx} F(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \exp(\log(\varphi(x))) = \exp(\log(\varphi(x))) \frac{d}{dx} \log(\varphi(x)) = F(x)(\varphi(x))^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x).$$

$F(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) - (\frac{d}{dx} F(x)) \varphi(x) = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dx} (F(x)(\varphi(x))^{-1}) = \left[\left(\frac{d}{dx} F(x) \right) \varphi(x) - F(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x) \right] (\varphi(x))^{-2} = 0$$

and therefore $F(x)(\varphi(x))^{-1} = F(0)(\varphi(0))^{-1} = 1$, $F(x) = \varphi(x)$. ■

Lemma 13. *Let K be a field of a characteristic 0, E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over K , $f(x) \in K[x]$,*

$$f(x) = x^n + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^{n-k} = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i), \quad x_i \in E, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $a_k = 0$ for all $k \geq n + 1$. Then for all $k \geq 1$

$$a_k = \sum_{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}}{1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k} \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k (x_1^i + x_2^i + \dots + x_n^i)^{\lambda_i}. \quad (44)$$

Proof. Let

$$\varphi(x) = x^n f(x^{-1}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^k = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k.$$

Then $\varphi(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x x_i)$ and from lemma 3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\log(\varphi(x))) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(\log \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - x_j x) \right) \right)^s = \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log(1 - x_j x) \right)^s = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(x_j x)^i}{i} \right) \right)^s. \end{aligned}$$

Hence and from the lemma 12 it follows that

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^k = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_j^i}{i} x^i \right)^s = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i \right) x^i \right)^s. \quad (45)$$

From the equality (45) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= \text{Coef}_{x^k} \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{1}{s!} \left(- \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i \right) x^i \right)^s = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \frac{(-1)^s}{s!} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k = s \\ \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{s!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i \right)^{\lambda_i} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i^{\lambda_i}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i \right)^{\lambda_i} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}}{1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k} \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k (x_1^i + x_2^i + \dots + x_n^i)^{\lambda_i}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 14. Let A be a square matrix of order n over a field of characteristic 0,

$$\det(xI_n - A) = x^n + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k x^{n-k}.$$

Then for all $k \geq 1$

$$a_k = \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}}{1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k} \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k (\text{Tr}(A^i))^{\lambda_i} \quad (46)$$

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix A . Then

$$\det(xI_n - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i), \quad \text{Tr}(A^i) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^i$$

for all $i \geq 0$ and the equality (46) follows from (44). ■

Lemma 15. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ be independent variables over the rational numbers field $\mathbb{Q}$,

$s_0 = 1$,

$$s_k = s_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

$s_l = s_l(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$, if $l \geq n + 1$. Then for all $k \geq 1$

$$s_k = (-1)^k \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + k\lambda_k = k \\ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq k}} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}}{1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots k^{\lambda_k} \lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k (x_1^i + x_2^i + \dots + x_n^i)^{\lambda_i} \quad (47)$$

Proof. Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (x - x_i) = x^n + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k s_k(x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}) x^{n-k},$$

then (47) follows directly from (44) and (45). ■

References

- [1] R. F. Scott. Mathematical notes, Messenger of Math.10: pp.142-149 (1881)
- [2] H. Minc. On a Conjecture of R. F Scott(1881), Linear Algebra and its Applications 28(1979), pp.141-153.
- [3] R. Kittappa. Proof of a Conjecture of 1881 on Permanents, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1981, vol. 10, pp.75-82.
- [4] H. Minc. Permanents, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1978.
- [5] P. Doubilet. On the Foundations of Combinational Theory. VII: Symmetric Functions through the Theory of Distribution and Occupancy, Studies in Applied Mathematics, vol.1, No. 4, 1972, pp.377 — 396.
- [6] P. Doubilet, G.-C. Rota, R. Stanley. On the foundations of combinatorial theory (VI): The idea of generating function, Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. and Prob., vol.2, University of California Press, 1973, pp.267 — 318.
- [7] G.-C. Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory. I. Theory of Möbius functions, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, 2, 1964, pp.340 — 368.
- [8] E. A. Bender, J. R. Goldman. On the applications of Möbius inversion in combinatorial analysis. The American Math Monthly, 82, No. 8(1975), pp.789 — 803.
- [9] Waring, Meditationes algebraicae, Cantabrigiae 1670, 1(15,16).
- [10] A. M. Kamenetsky. Permanents and determinants of group matrices. Explicit formulas and recursion relations for permanents and determinants of circulants. Solution of Lehmer's problem evaluation coefficients of determinants of general circulants // Deposited at VINITI 14.08.1990, No. 4620 — V90, 499p. (in Russian)